# The Future of a Medium Once Known as Television

implicit in YouTube's formal organization, that undercut my argument. ends, the more aware I was of an overarching issue, one that was largely a clever way to mashup and repurpose YouTube's words to my analytic ments. And yet, the more I recombined shards of text, hoping to find of course the rich data generated by YouTube's users in the form of comand seamless whole. A formally recursive article seemed an appropriate tracking devices and logics of hierarchization all combine into a dynamic as a totality, where variously sourced videos, commentaries, tools, draw, including the Company Blog, Privacy Notice, Terms of Service and And indeed, YouTube contains ample textual material from which to way to address and reflect on its textual and metatextual dimensions sourced sounds and images remixed into a new composite) or the site as an important site of cultural aggregation, whether we consider mash ups in the narrow sense (individual videos that make use of disparately address, and recycled and repurposed texts. You lube, after all, stands erly counterpart to YouTube's aggregation of voices, videos, modes of This article began with an ambition to be a textual mashup, a writ

YouTube is a creature of the moment. Only four years old as of this writing, it has enjoyed considerable attention, much of it celebratory, emblematizing for some the notion of Web 2.0 and the participatory turn. Its embrace of mashup culture, its openness to textual destabilization and radical recontextualization, and its fundamental reliance on user-generated content all certainly strike a resonant chord. But even more striking is its obsessive pursuit of alchemic chrysopoeia, a binary transmutation of numbers into gold. Google's massive investment in YouTube and its hope of transforming user-generated content into money seems as fraught as the pursuits of the alchemists of old. The

Uricchio - The Future of a Medium Once Known as Television

tensions between these two approaches, one deriving from a reconfigured notion of text, property and agency and the other rooted in the old logics of ownership and profit, have for the moment resulted in something that is neither fish nor fowl, at least given the simple conceptual categories that we continue to work with. While YouTube's economic model is indeed predicated on participation, it fails the "2.0 test" since users may only upload—and not download—its videos. Add to this YouTube's EULA, the intrusive logics of its filtering software, its processes for takedowns, its capitalization of user behaviors, and its status as an emblem of Web 2.0 seems more wishful thinking than anything else.

cally innovative and others hopelessly compromised—finding there ar phing set of technological, social and business practices—some radi In the case of YouTube, it has enabled us to look upon a steadily more of rupture with that past, magnifying our impression of inhabiting a questions we put to the past. The digital turn has enhanced our sense understanding of media history, shaping our historical agenda and the emblem of the new. privileged historical moment and our status as witnesses of the new with wide-ranging implications for traditional media. It has informed our media, offering both new definitional conceits and new media forms ated the challenges to the ontological distinctions among established utable in part to its environmental setting. The digital turn has acceler public, attracted an astounding level of financial investment, and been nitional contours are both contradictory and fast changing. This is attrib the subject of mythmaking and hyperbolic celebration. And yet its defi Within four short years, YouTube has found a large participating

And so the recursive tale of a radical mashup slowly smothered under too many qualifiers, while the story of YouTube as an experimental practice loomed ever larger. In this article I would like to reflect on YouTube as a set of practices—both corporate and popular—that interrogate our ideas of media and particularly the process of media change. Specifically, I would like to explore YouTube's implications as an experimental laboratory that may have its greatest relevance for the future of the medium currently known as television, and a medium—together with film—that is experiencing its own crisis.

Ş

### The Case for Television

discursive resonance of the "Tube" in YouTube, the trademarked claim accepting some of YouTube's own rhetorical positioning. Consider the audience-metrics service to include the Web, or they may finally be of the Peabody Award, which has until now focused on terrestrial and to "broadcast yourself," the structuring of content into "channels" and a technologies and practices (and therefore its own shifting institutional neither masks Peabody's struggles with television as a shifting set of democracy." The worthy cause of promoting democracy, however mittee noted that YouTube's Speaker's Corner, a "video-sharing Web site might have been genuinely surprised to be included within the domain core business that turns on the distribution of videos. television and radio in much the same way that Nielsen expanded their medium's future. Peabody may be expanding their remit, moving beyond relevance) any more than it does YouTube's relevance for the television expanding archive-cum-bulletin board that both embodies and promotes [...] where Internet users can upload, view and share clips, is an ever cable television and radio. In making its selection, Peabody's award com-April 1, 2009 blog.1 But even if the date had been different, YouTube "We Won a Peabody! (No Joke)" read the headline on YouTube's

as Mysoju take a more nation- and genre-specific approach, offering international assortment of television, film and music, and sites such sion shows, films and music. Other portals such as Joost provide ar channeling fan activities, to providing various levels of access to televicable-television networks all have their own online operations, in many enough to include the Internet. The major American terrestrial and access to unlicensed Japanese, Korean and Taiwanese soaps. Although offer a spectrum of services from providing scheduling information, to industry-backed portals such as Hulu (NBC Universal and News Corp.), panies. CBS Interactive, Fox Interactive Media, Turner (CNN, TNT, TBS cases positioned under the umbrella of their transmedia parent comstream American television programs have been spoken for by their ly, two things stand out. First, the online presence of television content the interfaces and services provided by these various sites differ wide Cartoon Network) and Viacom Digital (MTV, BET, Paramount), plus has been normalized and is growing steadily; second, virtually all main-YouTube is not alone in thinking about television in terms flexible

parent companies, and at a moment of aggressive intellectual property protection, this leaves very little for outside players such as YouTube and Joost

ranks of the top 10 most-viewed sites with 15 percent growth over the which is growing quickly. As of January 2009, Megavideo entered the bandwidth and coffee," has an average video duration of 24.9 minutes. whose motto is "Your content, your money. We just charge a little fee for minutes in December to 3.5 minutes in January—Megavideo, a portal And although average online video duration is getting longer—from 3.2 ence watched online video for an average of six hours in January 2009 com Digital with 288 million (1.9 percent) for the month respectively. videos viewed, with 552 million videos (3.7 percent), followed by Viaket share. Fox Interactive Media ranked a distant second in terms of videos on YouTube.com (62.6 videos per viewer) for a 43 percent mar the month of January 2009, 100.9 million viewers watched 6.3 billion every three Internet users who watched video used YouTube. During previous month Viewed more globally, nearly 77 percent of the total US Internet audi-And yet, according to comScore Video Metrix, more than two or

elements that the competition is not providing—elements, I will argue is nearly double that of any other age cohort (and where short form been growing, particularly in the 12- to 17-year-old market, where usage In this regard, it is also interesting to note that cellphone video use has steady growth of the Internet market, steady growth of the numbers of broadcast, cable, DVR time shifts, mobile and Internet). The average announced "TV Viewing Hits All-time High" (Nielsen's numbers include on YouTube. And indeed, coincident with these Internet metrics, Nielson way—on television (or the new-fashioned way, through their DVRs), not sign that television audiences are doing their viewing the old-fashioned and the sites of traditional television content that is easy to dismiss as a ways. On one hand, they point to a mismatch between viewer activity videos viewed online, and steady growth in the length of those videos On the other hand, we can also interpret this and other data as showing American now watches more than 151 hours of television per month.<sup>4</sup> that are central to the future of the television medium nearest television company Internet site may speak to an interest in "casual" viewing is the norm). YouTube's enormous advantage over the These data from the start of 2009 can be interpreted in several all consistent with its user-driven profile cellphone viewers, it is fast providing an array of alternatives from new textual forms to annotation systems, to community-building strategies ing these constraints, YouTube would not be a destination for the viewer the-scenes footage, celebrity interviews, online-only specials. Consider what it calls "short-form content": clips of popular prime-time shows allows access to promotional television material (interviews, previews trans-network fan, the synoptic viewer and the growing cohort of young seeking standard television fare or formats. But for the trans-brand or like Lost, Desperate Housewives and Grey's Anatomy, as well as behind responded to the constraints in the entertainment sector by launching some historical shows, news and local affiliate coverage. YouTube has program headers), ephemeral material (logos, advertisements), and corporate subdivisions that do the actual partnering. CBS, for example towards music from its American partners, as can be seen from the Group, Warner Music Group and many others, but its content skews licensing deals with CBS, BBC, Universal Music Group, Sony Music tent, then what kind of television is on YouTube? YouTube of course has If the networks are largely monopolizing their own television con

## **Ontological Ambivalence**

A look at YouTube's channels recalls Borges' description in his short essay "The Analytical Language of John Wilkins" about the Chinese emperor's encyclopedia. Functions, topics and media forms are jumbled together with "comedy, education, entertainment, film and animation, gaming, music, people and blogs, and sports," vying with one another for attention. Thanks to the just mentioned deals struck with media conglomerates, it serves as a significant cross-media outlet, and a site where content familiar from other media forms is repackaged. YouTube offers a rich set of provocations into larger questions regarding continuity and change in media and specifically interrogates the intermedial mix available in networked computing environments. One could argue that this interrogation process is inadvertent, largely reflecting the uncertainties of a new medium as it struggles to find its own expressive capacities, whether we conceptually frame this uncertainty as remediation or the backward-looking, precedent-bound "horseless carriage" syndrome.

genealogy? Its participation in legitimizing rituals such as film festivals? ent results. that different constituencies may make different selections with differ signifying practices—celluloid or a particular length, format or genre? the iPhone? Through some circumscribed set of physical parameters or then should we think of the film medium—through its technological celluloid, but more often than not with revenue streaming in through photochemically based, with final release still generally occurring on its various publics? The choice is determining, and we know of course Its discursive claims? Or the conceptual framework that it is afforded by DVDs and television exhibition rather than theatrical box office. How ple, moves between digital and analog, between computer-based and many media-studies programs. The film-production pipeline, for examwhere, precisely, a medium begins and ends, seems not unlike that in The site of its greatest exposure, even if that is television or Internet or But the confusion evident in today's transmedia industries over

It is this ambiguity, or better, this definitional ambivalence that provides such fertile ground for YouTube. At a moment when the full implications of the digital turn have yet to transform our ways of thinking about moving-image content and our categories of analysis, when the relations between producers and consumers characteristic of the industrial era are slowly being eroded, and when convergent media industries are themselves spreading content across as many platforms as possible, YouTube offers a site of aggregation that exacerbates—and capitalizes upon—that uncertainty.

Along with many of the portals backed by transmedia companies, YouTube continues to rely upon traditional media distinctions as a navigational aid to its users and as a means of appealing to existing communities of interest, while in fact all but flattening the media distinctions in practice. Let's consider the case of film. A best-case scenario appears in the form of the "YouTube Screening Room," where the case for *film* is legitimized by site design—a screen framed by curtains, for instance—holding to a theatrical-style release schedule (two-week runs complete with shorts) and foregrounding where possible the cinematic legacy of its films with evidence that they have played at international film festivals. The "YouTube Screening Room" declares itself to be the "world's largest theater" and part of a new generation of filmmaking and distribution. Other groups, such as aficionados of Super 8mm films

offering the artifact itself! Consider for example YouTube's promotiona at YouTube work through familiar categories while in fact offering appeals to the amateur movement, to testivals, the development teams From the echoes of cinema-style theatrical release, to format-specific enterprising auteur busy for a lifetime." Nostalgia and aesthetics comanimation, multimedia formats [...] the list is long enough to keep any er with "analog video, digital video, HD video, Photoshop, computer 8's affinity to YouTube's project is underscored when grouped togeth explain it, the "granddaddy of all low-budget formats was popular in the blurb for The Sundance Film Festival: more than simply the film artifact itself—or in many cases, without even bine to legitimize YouTube as part of a much longer amateur trajectory. well as its extreme affordability." Despite this historical framing, Super professional films because of its unique and beautiful characteristics, as 1960s and 70s for making home movies and is still used in amateur and (the Straight 8 team), organize festivals of their favorite films. As they

The Sundance Film Festival recently launched a YouTube channel that allows all of you movie enthusiasts to get a glimpse of what took place during the 25th anniversary year of the influential festival. For those of you interested in the filmmakers behind the films, there's the "Meet the Artists" playlist, featuring interviews with filmmakers from around the world and clips of the films that brought them to Sundance. If you're looking for coverage on the ground—from premieres to parties and more—you can check out the Live@Sundance segments. And to hear what some of the film industry's leading thinkers had to say about the state of the business today...?

Although in most cases we are only given access to "clips of the films that brought them to Sundance," the trappings of the festival constitute the main event and are covered in their full glory. Just as in the example of its "short-form" approach to mainstream television, YouTube has seized the periphery, providing access to the scene even more consistently than to the films (or television shows) themselves.

The game channels operate in similar fashion. Games, by definition interactive, are watchable rather than playable in the YouTube context. The various channels provide walkthroughs, commentaries, trailers, previews, sneak peeks, cheats, highlights and event coverage across the various gaming platforms. These elements are the topic of much

es both the scene (interviews, reviews, behind-the-scenes peeks and music, playback is permitted and a broader array of affordances addressabsent and peripheral activities are provided in abundance, in the case of music. Unlike television and games, where the core artifacts are largely on-screen reminders to "click here" if we want to pay for and own the alignment with a VJ's profile. And the act of commodification, of transmusic and videos, providing something like MTV-on-demand with a few shows and films. The music channels by contrast are able to deliver both so on) as well as the industry's interests in the pinpoint targeting of forming listening and viewing pleasure into a purchase, is prompted by serving to address taste formations in quite a different way than mere user interest patterns as well as in community recommendations, both bonuses. The curatorial act is embodied in algorithmic correlations of that seem to lurk behind the event coverage "peripheral" to television commentary, effectively reinforcing the community-building strategies potential customers and sales

#### But Is It Television?

At a moment when, in the wake of Janet Jackson's 2004 "wardrobe malfunction," live television broadcasts have been ended in the United States, when most viewers perceive television as something coming through a cable rather than the ether, and when increasing numbers of people are using DVRs and DVDs to pursue their own viewing habits, the medium's definition is in a state of contestation. Much as was the case with the discussion of film, definition turns on the parameters that we privilege as essential and distinguishing. Television, more than film—which has enjoyed a relatively stable century—has been through a series of definitional crises over its long history. Indeed, how we even date the medium and where we chose to locate its start reveals much about how we have chosen to define it.<sup>8</sup> But there is no escaping the slippery slope on which we tread today.

One of the oldest elements in television's definition was its potential for liveness. It defined television conceptually in the 19th century, distinguished it from film for much of the 20th, and although it has largely been supplanted by video in order to enhance the medium's economic efficiencies, liveness (even in the era of the seven-second delay)

nevertheless remains a much touted capacity. Even slightly delayed, televised sports events, breaking news and special events attest to the medium's conceptual distinction from film, which was, for the duration of its photochemical history, emphatically not live.

gone wrong, and fights"—indications that liveness is understood by prompted with subcategories such as "bloopers, mistakes, accidents an issue.9 In fact, if one searches on YouTube for live television, one is played out with a few days of "real" time, and the recursive mashup did mashup video of the submissions as a backdrop. The selection process egie Hall under the direction of Michael Tilson Thomas, complete with a a week, and the winners invited to travel to New York to play at Carn-YouTube Symphony Orchestra by having them submit video introducis not to say that it doesn't at times seek to simulate it. For example, as You lube's minions as an excess of signification that cannot be cleaned YouTube to create a real-life event, televisual liveness was almost never its best to keep the time frame tight. While a useful experiment in using Tan Dun. The videos were posted and voted upon over the period of tions and performances of a new piece written by Chinese composer up, edited away or reshot I write this, YouTube has been auditioning interested musicians for the YouTube, like film, misses the capacity for televisual liveness. This

in time or the vitiating of sequence was picked up by Foucault as somegies characterized by irregular or intermittent times (the pulse), or erration ic. The term heterochronia traditionally refers to certain medical patholo ference between television as heterochronic and YouTube as heterotop at any given moment. Underlying this distinction is a key conceptual difprogrammer, and audiences may dip in and out as they choose. YouTube sequenced stream of program units constantly issues forth from the of flow that characterized the earliest days of broadcasting: a temporally the use of videotape, DVRs and video-on-demand, but by and large it theorists. 10 As with liveness, it can certainly be circumvented through by Raymond Williams in 1974 and reiterated ever since by the medium's thing of a temporal extension of his notion of heterotopia. The latter term developmental sequence (organ growth). This notion of displacements this sense, offering instead a set of equivalently accessible alternatives like film in the time-based domain—but also like libraries—lacks flow in remains present as a potential. Television adheres to the same notions Flow constitutes another key concept in television, first articulated

denotes for Foucault sites with a multiplicity of meanings, defined by uncertainty, paradox, incongruity and ambivalence; sites best exemplified by long-term accumulation projects such as libraries and museums; sites for which he suggested a temporal corollary: heterochronia. An evocative term as much for its weak definitional status as for its promise, heterochronia is a term I would like to define between its diagnostic roots (the vitiating of sequence, displacements in time) and Foucault's institutional setting. Like museums and libraries, television is a space of accumulated artifacts that are endlessly recombinatory. Unlike them, however, and this is a crucial distinction from Foucault's meaning, television's recombinatory process plays out as flow, as a structured linear sequence over time. YouTube's place in this is somewhat ambivalent.

active selection. In this, YouTube looks very much like the DVR-mediatec shift in agency (producer-controlled flow as distinct from user-generated generating sequential context for individual videos, there is a significant ence their texts over time, often viewing multiple videos and therefore divergent materials, relies upon sequence and ever-changing context collages exploit the dissonance of source, materiality and referenced act. The resulting whole is greater than the sum of its parts, and many combined in a new composition, is certainly a radical recombinatory ciple (the compositing of differently sourced artifacts) works to a very flow), and a shift from flow as default to flow as a condition that requires for its effect. While it is certainly the case that users of YouTube experitemporality to great effect. But montage, the durational assemblage of from various provenances and with different histories are uprooted and different effect along a durational axis. Collage, in which visual elements television experience Like the difference between collage and montage, a similar prin-

Another recurrent element in the definition of television regards its ability to aggregate dispersed publics. Although this vision can be traced back to the medium's postwar institutionalization and reflects its inheritance from broadcast radio, it has roots in the late 19th century. In its earliest manifestations, television was imagined as a point-to-point, person-to-person medium akin to the telephone, but bolstered by a number of public functions such as news and entertainment. In a certain sense, we have come full circle: from the broadcast era where large publics were the norm, through a period of deregulation at which point cable, satellite and VCR helped audiences to sliver into ever smaller

niches. While not yet individualized (our webcams have shouldered that burden), we inhabit a moment where the steady erosion of the mass viewing public has created anxiety in political terms regarding the future of television as a collective mode of address.

gatherings and community activity in the physical world asms. YouTube's collaborative annotation system enables users to invite nels draw together communities of participation and shared enthusistructing objects of common interest. Interest groups and sub-chanlinks. Videos can be easily shared and recommended to friends, conand definitional concerts regarding television to the breaking point. You-"Frozen Grand Central Station," YouTube even serves as a catalyst to Improve Everywhere's videos such as "No Pants Subway Ride" and the YouTube Symphony Orchestra and the New York-based collective providing a site of interaction and collaboration. And as in the case of people to create speech bubbles, notes and spotlights on their videos, to videos and interact with one another by exchanging reactions and notions of collectivity. The comments feature enables users to respond cable era's slivers into atomic particles and pushing our expectations protocol television, might be seen as the final straw, fragmenting the lube, however, has launched a number of initiatives that seek to restore YouTube and the emergent practices referred to as IPTV, Internet



1 "No Pants Subway Ride" - January 2009

3

7

Liveness, flow and aggregated publics, while long-term concerns and even definitional components of television, have also modulated in response to social needs and available technologies. Over the past 130 years, television has been imagined and deployed as a set of practices that make use of a shifting technological base, including the telephone, radio, film and, most recently, the networked computer. Each of these concepts in distinctive ways. YouTube emblematizes a set of inflections and modulations that address the role of the most recent transformation of television's dispositif—the shift to networked computer technologies. Its notion of liveness is one of simulation and "on demand"; its embrace of flow is selective and user-generated; and its sense of community and connection is networked and drawn together through recommendation, annotation and prompts.

## YouTube as Next-generation Television?

a robust DVR more than anything else, with archives of program epishared information—some of it promotional, some of it synoptic texts by contrast, has emerged as a dynamic experimental forum built around chosen to develop their own online portals. But those portals resemble cultural value take form. This choice may well have been inadvertent, creative economies shift to more user-generated content, destabilizconstruct meaning, communities of interest, and the frameworks of some of it fan commentaries, parodies and mashups sodes surrounded by strategic appropriations from YouTube. The latter, been filled with traditional texts. The established industries have instead their products, leaving YouTube hollow where it might otherwise have since the film and television industries have been reluctant to let go of have adroitly taken on the broader space where social meaning and of texts and authorized conduits of interpretation, You lube seems to ing the long monopoly of media industries as the exclusive producers evaluation so important to the cultural experience. Especially as our vision show or the film—positions it to play a key role in helping to ery" of what has long been held as the center of attention—the tele-From what we have already seen, YouTube's focus on the "periph-

and 60-minute program formats; it offers relatively transparent usage a threat to the concept of "seeing at a distance" that has long character will certainly continue to survive and change, just as questions abounetwork as little more than a data dump and alternate channel. work affordances, unlike its industrial counterparts who are using the community engagements. In each case, YouTube is making use of net NGO and public; and it seems particularly persistent about targeting metrics; it provides a mix of voices including corporate, governmental has stuffed itself into an unnecessarily small conceptual space, and Youof networked computers and various mobile devices. This doesn't pose tries, and as a response to bottom-up appropriations of the affordances point is rather that the industrial era of television, with us since the early culture and ownership will continue to be asked. Nor do I want to stuf (again, if inadvertently) sidestepped the industrial-era artifacts of the 30 Tube is providing a set of radical alternatives. YouTube has successfully vice grip over the past few decades. If anything, the television industry ized television so much as to the institutional logics that have held it in a tent from media platforms characteristic of today's cross-media indus-1950s, is fast changing under pressure from the disaggregation of con-YouTube with all of its radical potential into an old media category. The the professionally produced media text, is dead. The content industry To be clear, I do not want to suggest that the text, and particularly

in the UK." The Today in History series invites exploration of the archive ness School, The Daily Telegraph and Google, designed to showcase such as Survival Of The Fastest, an initiative from the London Busi alliances and natural affiliations are given voice with user channels edge research, and lectures by professors and world-renowned though existence," YouTube EDU provides "campus tours, news about cuttingseen experimenting with existing social processes (education, politics the past. In these sectors and many more like them, YouTube can be contested notions of public memory, and debates over the meaning of including IIT/IISc, MIT, Stanford, UC Berkeley, UCLA, and Yale." 14 New leaders [...] from some of the world's most prestigious universities tion. So too "one of the coolest, unintended outcomes of the site's offering officials an opportunity to weigh in on "important issues fac "insights and inspirational ideas from some of the best business brains ing Congress right now," are designed to elicit debate and participa Initiatives such as YouTube Senator/Representative of the Week

the construction of history), institutions and visions, offering new outlets, enhancing its own centrality as an all-purpose portal, and learning as it does so.

## Epilogue: "YouTube on Your TV"

Regarding the future of television, let's step back and take a long view of the medium: one stretching back to the interactive, point-to-point television envisioned in the late 19th century (like the telephone); one reconfigured as a ubiquitous domestic appliance (like radio); one functioning as an event-driven, visually rich spectacle (like cinema); and today, one taking advantage of the affordances of networked computers. Framed within this perspective, YouTube's limits as an exemplar of mashup culture and VVeb 2.0 may be precisely its strengths as a transitional model to next generation television.

On January 15, 2009, YouTube's company blog announced a beta version of YouTube for Television: "a dynamic, lean-back, 10-foot television viewing experience through a streamlined interface that enables you to discover, watch and share YouTube videos on any TV screen with just a few quick clicks of your remote control. [...] Optional auto-play capability enables users to view related videos sequentially, emulating a traditional television experience. The TV website is available internationally across 22 geographies and in over 12 languages." The beta version relies on Sony PS3 and Nintendo Wii game consoles, but YouTube has thrown down the gauntlet, and announced that it plans to expand its platform interfaces. Emulation as a strategy may yet come full circle.

#### Endnotes

- Unless otherwise noted, all quotes are from the YouTube Company Blog for the date noted
- php?id=59 [last checked 15 April 2009] See the Peabody Award website – www.peabody.uga.edu/news/event
- Jump," 6 March 2009 www.marketingvox.com Marketing VOX, "YouTube Tops 100M Viewers, Fuels 15% Online Video
- in the US: A2/M2 Three Screen Report (3rd quarter, 2008); as well as Alana For a discussion, see Nielsen-Online, Television, Internet and Mobile Usage 4 February 2009 Semuels, "Television Viewing at All-Time High," Los Angeles Times,
- ones, (k) those drawn with a very fine camelhair brush, (l) others, (m) those emperor, (b) embalmed ones, (c) those that are trained, (d) sucking pigs, Archaeology of the Human Sciences (New York: Vintage Books, 1970). classification, (i) those that tremble as if they were mad, (j) innumerable (e) mermaids, (f) fabulous ones, (g) stray dogs, (h) included in the present encyclopedia in which animals are divided into: (a) those that belong to the University of Texas Press, 1993). Borges refers to a Chinese emperor's Jorge Luis Borges, The Analytical Language of John Wilkins (Austin distance. See also the preface to Michel Foucault, The Order of Things, An that have just broken a flower vase, (n) those that resemble flies from a
- See www.youtube.com/blog, 20 February 2009
- William Uricchio, "Contextualizing the broadcast era: nation, commerce and constraint," in Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science (forthcoming in 2009)
- 9 An important exception in this regard is the YouTube Live Channel - you originated with "YouTube's first ever live streamed event—November 22 tube.com/live. Despite the discursive claim, the channel only simulated liveness in order to promote YouTube (and YouTube celebrities). All clips
- 10 University Press, 2004), pp. 232-261. Raymond Williams, Television: Technology and Cultural Form (London: Medium in Transition, eds. Lynn Spigel & Jan Olsson (Durham, NC: Duke technology / interface culture / flow," in Television After TV: Essays on a Fontana, 1974); see also William Uricchio, "Television's next generation:

3

- $\rightrightarrows$ Foucault, Essential Works of Foucault 1954-1984 (London: Penguin, 1998) See Foucault 1970, and Foucault's article "Different Spaces," in Michel
- 12 stituting a place of all times that is itself outside time and protected from contain all times, all ages, all forms, all tastes in one place, the idea of conpile up and perch on its own summit, whereas in the seventeenth century, belongs to our modernity." Foucault 1998, p. 182 accumulation of time in a place that will not move—well, in fact, all of this its erosion, the project of thus organizing a kind of perpetual and indefinite every-thing, the idea of constituting a sort of general archive, the desire to and up to the end of the seventeenth century still, museums were the expression of an individual choice. By contrast, the idea of accumulating "Museums and libraries are heterotopias in which time never ceases to
- 3 of the telephonoscope as a means of transmitting public news and See for example Albert Robida, La vingtième Siècle (1883) and his vision
- 7 See www.youtube.com/blog, 27 March 2009.